



Minutes of a meeting of the Bradford South Area Committee held Remotely on Thursday, 25 February 2021

Commenced	5.05 pm
Concluded	6.25 pm

Present – Councillors

LABOUR	CONSERVATIVE	THE QUEENSBURY WARD INDEPENDENTS
Wainwright T Hussain Dodds Warburton Wood S Khan Mukhtar	Bibby	L Cromie

Observer: Councillor Johnson

Councillor Wainwright in the Chair

7. DISCLOSURES OF INTEREST

No disclosures of interest in matters under consideration were received.

8. MINUTES

Resolved –

That the minutes of the meeting held on 30 July 2020 be signed as a correct record.

9. INSPECTION OF REPORTS AND BACKGROUND PAPERS

There were no appeals submitted by the public to review decisions to restrict documents.

10. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

There were no questions submitted by the public.

11. HOLLINGWOOD LANE, BRADFORD, TRAFFIC CALMING MEASURES - OBJECTIONS

The Strategic Director Place submitted a report (**Document "C"**) which set out objections received to a recently advertised proposal for traffic calming measures on Hollingwood Lane, Bradford.

The Principle Highways Engineer explained that the scheme had been progressed following historic concerns around speeding traffic and accidents on the length of Hollingwood Lane. He explained that the five year casualty rates showed that there had been 10 collisions, resulting in 12 casualties.

Following advertising of the traffic calming scheme, 7 objections had been received, one of which had since been withdrawn, as well as a 24 signature petition against the proposal, the details of which were set out in the report. However there was also overwhelming support for the proposals from local residents and Ward Councillor, and the Area Committee was recommended to overrule the objections and approve the scheme.

In response to a question regarding the differential costs and the maintenance regime between installing rubber humps as oppose to the tarmac type, the Principle Highways Engineer stressed that the differential cost was negligible between the two, however the rubber humps did require more maintenance in the long run and hence the tarmac type were considered the more cost effective option. In either case, as long as drivers adhered to the speed limit and negotiated the humps accordingly, there would be no resulting vehicle damage.

In response to a question regarding the re-siting of the proposed humps, where a driveway would be affected, the Highways Engineer confirmed that this had been done where feasible.

A number of objectors were present at the meeting and they made the following comments:

What evidence was there of the complaints and record regarding speeding on Hollingwood Lane?

Why were residents not consulted prior to devising the scheme?

That 10 collisions had been recorded, to the previous 7; of which how many were severe; how were pedestrians/vehicles involved and what speeds were these vehicles travelling at?

That most roads in the district would record that vehicles were driving to excessive speeds, however it was no feasible to put traffic calming on every road and in addition the proposed humps would cause vehicle damage.

In response to the points raised the Highways Engineer stated that although he did not have detailed accident records at hand, the previous concerns raised on Hollingwood Lane over a number of years by residents and Ward Councillors had resulted in the scheme coming forward. In addition the casualty figures alone, meant that a scheme was included in this years' programme, and a consultation exercise was undertaken, and hence the report today.

The Highways Engineer also confirmed that of the 10 accidents, two had resulted

in serious injuries and the others were slight; speed data regarding the collisions was not available, however the loss of control in two of the incidents, suggested that speed was a significant factor. In addition it was important to get speeds within safe limits and whilst traffic calming measures on their own were not 100% effective, they did contribute to reducing vehicle speeds, collisions and ultimately reduced the number of casualties.

A resident spoke in support of the proposed traffic calming measures as speeding and reckless driving had been a long standing issue and concern in the area and that he and other residents welcomed the proposal.

In response to the accident figures, it was stressed that these were recorded accidents, where the emergency services had to be in attendance, however the number of minor collisions probably far exceeded the officially recorded number.

A further objector stated that a mini roundabout along with other measures might be afford a better solution to the proposed scheme, as the problem was more prominent on certain parts of Hollingwood lane. In response the Highways Engineer stressed that a mini roundabout was not a feasible option.

A objector questioned the impact of the proposed traffic calming on increasing air pollution as more emissions would be released by vehicles slowing and then accelerating; in addition this could lead to more congestion in the area and create more issues going uphill, particularly during inclement weather, as well as impacting on cyclist.

In response the Principle Highways Engineer stated that as with any proposal there were ultimately pros and cons, however he did not envisage that there would be a measurable impact on air pollution and ultimately cyclist would be benefit from reduced vehicle speeds.

In response to a question regarding lighting and speed cameras, the Highways Engineer explained that although there were proposals to improve lighting across the district, they did not form part of this scheme and that the installation of speed cameras were costly and dictated by different criteria.

During the discussion Members expressed broad support for the proposals and commented that given the long standing issues on Hollingwood Lane, the proposed scheme could only be seen as a positive. The presence of a school and park were also cited as a further reason to install traffic calming, as vehicle speeds and driver behaviour was a factor and funding for these type of schemes was already scarce, and it was therefore:

Resolved –

- (1) That the objections be overruled and the proposed traffic calming measures and traffic island be constructed and implemented as advertised.**
- (2) That the objectors be informed accordingly.**

ACTION: Strategic Director Place

Overview and Scrutiny Committee: Regeneration and Environment

The Strategic Director Place submitted a report (**Document “D”**) which gave an update on work undertaken by the Youth Service in the Bradford South Area in the past 12 months and outlined the direction of travel for the next 12 months.

In the context of the current pandemic, a number of Covid Ambassadors had been recruited to help in particular hotspots of the district, including the Bradford South area, and assist young people during this difficult period.

A number of the Covid Ambassadors were present at the meeting to give an overview of the work they had undertaken during the pandemic and the contribution they had made, as young people were having to come to terms with a number of challenges, such as the impact on their education and experiencing bereavement and mental health issues. The innovative use of social media had been instrumental in promoting key messages and helping the Ambassadors work in reducing the spread of the virus as well as tackling misinformation and conspiracy theories around the pandemic.

During the discussion Members welcomed the valuable contribution the Covid Ambassadors had made to the lives of young people across the district and in the Bradford South area and their ability to get young people on board. Members suggested that Ward Members be kept informed of work in their respective wards and where links can be made with other key Council projects these should be fully explored, such as the work being done in the district on integration.

The Youth Service practitioner explained that going forward more work will be undertaken where there were Covid hotspots and where infection rates were still considered high.

Members thanked the Covid Ambassadors for their attendance, contribution and input and also the work of the Youth Service and the Area Coordinators office in making this come to fruition, and it was therefore:

Resolved –

- (1) **That the work undertaken by the Youth Service in the Bradford South Area as detailed in Document “D” be welcomed.**
- (2) **That the work undertaken by the Covid Ambassadors be commended as well as their input into this meeting, and that the work undertaken by the Youth Service and the Area Coordinators office in supporting young people during the pandemic also be acknowledged.**

ACTION: Area Coordinator’s Office

Overview & Scrutiny Committee: Children’s Services

Chair

Note: These minutes are subject to approval as a correct record at the next meeting of the Bradford South Area Committee.